ļ			
1		,	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	,		
7	· .		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5762	
12	SALLY MARIE SCARRY		
13	6253 Carlow Dr. 3	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	Citi to mogney or your	· ·	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 92687	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Respondent.		
17	F		
18			
19	FINDINGS	OF FACT	
20	1. On or about August 22, 2016, Compla	ninant Virginia K. Herold, in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,	
22	filed Accusation No. 5762 against Sally Marie Sca	filed Accusation No. 5762 against Sally Marie Scarry (Respondent) before the Board of	
23	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
24	2. On or about August 31, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 92687 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5762		
27_	and will expire on February 28, 2017, unless rene	wed	
28	111		
	(SALTY MADIE SCA	ARRY) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5762	
	(SALLI MARIE SCA	MAL) DEFAULT DECISION & ONDER Case NO. 5/02	

3. On or about August 30, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of Accusation No. 5762, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

6253 Carlow Dr. 3 Citrus Heights, CA 95621.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about September 1, 2016, Respondent returned a signed Certified Mail receipt for the aforementioned Certified and First Class Mail documents.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense... and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation... not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense... shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5762.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent . . . .
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits, and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5762, finds that

the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5762, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$1,789.50 as of October 3, 2016.

### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Sally Marie Scarry has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 92687 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (I), in that on or about February 25, 2015, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People vs. Sally Marie Scarry* (Sacramento County Super. Ct., Case No. 14M06848), Respondent was convicted by the Court, on her plea of nolo contendere, of violating Penal Code section 273a, subdivision (b) (child endangerment), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The imposition of Respondent's sentence was suspended and Respondent was placed on probation for three (3) years on terms and conditions, including that she obey all laws. The circumstances of the crime are set forth below.
- b. On or about August 22, 2014, Citrus Heights Police Department ("CHPD") officers were dispatched to Respondent's residence to conduct a welfare check of her two minor children. Respondent had come to the CHPD earlier that day and reported that some people were following her from her home while she went to a restaurant, and all over town while she drove. Once the officers determined that the residence was clear, they began their welfare check. Respondent's two minor children were not at the residence. The officers found that the residence was uninhabitable for the children in that it was extremely dirty and unkempt (the wallpaper in the kitchen was black and there was dirt, trash, and clothing all over the carpet); the upstairs

(SALLY MARIE SCARRY) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5762

**ORDER** 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 92687, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Sally Marie Scarry, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 2, 2016. 8 It is so ORDERED on November 2, 2016. 9 10 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 By 15 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. 16 **Board President** 17 12450708.DOC SA2016100745 18 19 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

# Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339		
·	1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
ļ	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5762		
12	SALLY MARIE SCARRY		
13	6253 Carlow Dr. 3 Citrus Heights, CA 95621 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH		
	92687		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
- 20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about August 31, 2009, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
22	Number TCH 92687 to Sally Marie Scarry ("Respondent"). The pharmacy technician registration		
.23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
24	February 28, 2017, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION/STATUTORY PROVISIONS		
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise		
2	28- indicated.		
	1		
	(SALLY MARIE SCARRY) ACCUSATION		

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment . . . .

#### COST RECOVERY

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Criminal Conviction)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (I), in that on or about February 25, 2015, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People vs. Sally Marie Scarry* (Sacramento County Super. Ct., Case No. 14M06848), Respondent was convicted by the Court, on her plea of nolo contendere, of violating Penal Code section 273a, subdivision (b) (child endangerment), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The imposition of Respondent's sentence was suspended and Respondent was placed on probation for three (3) years on terms and conditions, including that she obey all laws.
- 9. The circumstances of the above crime are as follows: On or about August 22, 2014, Citrus Heights Police Department ("CHPD") officers were dispatched to Respondent's residence to conduct a welfare check of her two minor children. Respondent had come to the CHPD earlier that day and reported that some people were following her from her home while she went to a restaurant, and all over town while she drove. Once the officers determined that the residence was clear, they began their welfare check. Respondent's two minor children were not at the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent had come to the CHPD various times before to report that people were following her and breaking into her residence, which was determined to be unfounded.

residence. The officers found that the residence was uninhabitable for the children in that it was extremely dirty and unkempt (the wallpaper in the kitchen was black and there was dirt, trash, and clothing all over the carpet); the upstairs bathroom was filthy, and the toilet was not working and contained urine and feces; and the oven was not working. One of the officers called Child Protective Services ("CPS") to place Respondent's children into protective custody. The children were picked up from school and driven to the CHPD. The officers returned to the CHPD and met with the children. The children told the officers that various items in their house were "destroyed," including the oven. The children indicated that they only ate finger foods. Later, the children were released to CPS custody.

- 10. On or about September 22, 2015, CHPD officers conducted a probation search/welfare check of Respondent's residence. Upon arrival, the officers found that the residence was unsanitary and unhealthy for Respondent's two minor children. The upstairs toilet was flooded with feces sitting in it; and there were exposed wires, trash, and filth in the children's bedrooms as well as dirty mattresses with no sheets, comforters, or blankets. The officers placed Respondent under arrest for violating Penal Code sections 273a, subdivision (b), and 1203.2 (violation of probation). One of the officers called CPS and the children were taken into protective custody.
- 11. On or about July 8, 2016, Respondent admitted at her probation hearing that she was in violation of her probation. Respondent's probation was modified and she was placed on formal probation for four years on terms and conditions, including that she attend parenting classes for fifty-two weeks.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

. 12.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
Code secti	on 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude
dishonesty	, fraud, deceit, or corruption, as set forth in paragraphs 8 through 10 above.

 ///

-///

4.

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 92687,

4			
5	issued to Sally Marie Scarry;		
6	2. Ordering Sally Marie Scarry to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of		
7	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section		
8	125.3; and		
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
10	8/22/16 Cugino Held		
11	VIRGINIA HEROLD		
12	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
13	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
14	Complainant		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28 SA2016100745

1

2

3

5

(SALLY MARIE SCARRY) ACCUSATION